GENERAL

peabody developmental motor scales written report example

Published: September 3, 2026 | Index ID: #-

Introduction

When evaluating a child's motor development, clinicians rely on reliable tools that provide a comprehensive picture of both gross and fine motor skills. The **Peabody Developmental Motor Scales**(PDMS) is one of the most widely used instruments for this purpose. However, the true value of the assessment lies not just in the raw scores but in how those scores are interpreted and communicated. A well structured written report translates data into actionable insights for parents, teachers, and other professionals. In this article, we'll walk through the essential elements of a PDMS written report, offering a step by step guide and a detailed example to help you craft clear, concise, and clinically useful documents.

Understanding the Peabody Developmental Motor Scales

What Is the PDMS?

The PDMS is a standardized assessment battery designed to evaluate motor performance in children from birth to 5½ years old. It consists of two main subtests: **Gross Motor Quotient (GMQ)** and **Fine Motor Quotient (FMQ)**. Each subtest measures a range of skills, from basic reflexes and posture to advanced coordination and precision tasks.

Why Is the PDMS Important?

Early identification of motor delays or deficits allows for timely intervention, which can significantly improve developmental outcomes. The PDMS provides clinicians with objective data that can guide treatment planning, monitor progress, and support referrals to specialists.

The Purpose of a Written Report

Translating Data into Insight

A written report is the bridge between raw test scores and real world applications. It contextualizes findings, highlights strengths and weaknesses, and offers specific recommendations for intervention.

Who Uses the Report?

- Physical and occupational therapists
- Early childhood educators
- Speech language pathologists
- Parents and caregivers
- Medical professionals involved in pediatric care

Key Components of a PDMS Written Report

1. Demographic and Assessment Information

Include the child's name, date of birth, assessment date, and the assessor's credentials. This section establishes

the context and ensures the report is properly referenced.

2. Assessment Overview

Provide a brief description of the PDMS, its purpose, and the age range it covers. Mention any adaptations made to accommodate the child's needs.

3. Raw Scores and Standard Scores

List the raw scores for each subtest, along with the corresponding standard scores and percentile ranks. Use tables for clarity if needed.

4. Interpretation of Results

Translate scores into meaningful language. Discuss how the child's performance compares to normative data and identify specific areas of concern.

5. Recommendations

Offer actionable strategies tailored to the child's strengths and deficits. Include goals, suggested activities, and referral options if necessary.

6. Summary and Conclusion

Recap the main findings and reinforce the significance of the recommendations. End with a concise statement that invites follow up or further evaluation.

Step by Step Guide to Writing the Report

Step 1: Gather All Relevant Data

Before you start drafting, collect the raw scores, standard scores, percentile ranks, and any observational notes. Double check for accuracy to avoid misinterpretation.

Step 2: Structure the Document

Organize the report into clear sections using headings. A logical flow from introduction to conclusion helps readers quickly locate the information they need.

Step 3: Draft the Narrative

- Use plain, professional language.
- Avoid excessive jargon; explain technical terms when necessary.
- Maintain a balanced tone—neither overly positive nor overly negative.

Step 4: Summarize Findings

Highlight key results in bullet points or a summary table. This provides a snapshot for busy clinicians or parents.

Step 5: Provide Specific Recommendations

Link each recommendation directly to the data. For example, if the child scores low in "walking with balance," suggest balance building activities and consider a referral to a pediatric physical therapist.

Step 6: Review and Edit

Check for clarity, consistency, and grammatical accuracy. Have a peer or supervisor review the report to ensure it meets professional standards.

Example Written Report

Below is a sample PDMS written report. This example illustrates how to integrate data, interpretation, and recommendations in a coherent format.

Sample Report

Child: Emily R.
DOB: 14/02/2022
Assessment Date: 05/10/2023
Assessor: Dr. Sarah Patel, PT, DPT

Assessment Overview:
The Peabody Developmental Motor Scales, Second Edition (PDMS 2), was administered to evaluate Emily's gross and fine motor skills. The assessment was conducted in a quiet, child friendly environment and was completed in approximately 45 minutes.

Raw Scores:
Gross Motor: 28
Fine Motor: 22

Standard Scores:
Gross Motor Quotient (GMQ): 94 (Average)
Fine Motor Quotient (FMQ): 82 (Below Average)

Percentile Ranks:
Gross Motor: 48th percentile
Fine Motor: 25th percentile

Interpretation:
Emily demonstrates average gross motor abilities, indicating typical development in activities such as walking, jumping, and balance. However, her fine motor skills are below the average range, with particular difficulty in tasks requiring hand eye coordination and manual dexterity. Observational notes revealed hesitancy during the "puzzle" task and frequent hand to mouth movements, suggesting possible sensory processing issues.

Recommendations:
1. Fine Motor Intervention:
- Engage in daily activities that promote hand eye coordination, such as drawing, cutting with scissors, and playing with building blocks.
  - Incorporate occupational therapy sessions focusing on precision grips and pincer movements.

2. Sensory Integration Support:
  - Introduce sensory play materials (e.g., textured balls, playdough) to improve tactile processing.
  - Consider a referral to a pediatric occupational therapist for a comprehensive sensory assessment.

3. School Collaboration:
  - Share Emily's fine motor profile with her classroom teacher.

- Encourage the use of adaptive tools (e.g., pencil grips, lined paper) to facilitate participation in classroom tasks.

Summary:
Emily’s gross motor development aligns with age appropriate norms, while her fine motor skills warrant targeted intervention. Early, structured support is recommended to address these deficits and promote overall developmental progress.

Prepared by:
Dr. Sarah Patel, PT, DPT
Date: 10/10/2023

Explanation of Each Section

- Child Information & Assessment Overview: Sets the context and identifies the assessor.
- Raw Scores & Standard Scores: Presents objective data in a clear format.
- Interpretation: Connects scores to developmental milestones.
- Recommendations: Offers actionable steps tailored to the child’s needs.
- Summary: Provides a concise recap for quick reference.

Common Pitfalls to Avoid

Overusing Technical Jargon

While precision is important, excessive jargon can alienate non clinical stakeholders. Use plain language whenever possible.

Incomplete Data Presentation

Missing scores or unclear tables can lead to misinterpretation. Always double check that every data point is included and accurately labeled.

Lack of Clear Recommendations

General statements (“work on motor skills”) are less useful than specific, measurable actions. Tie each recommendation directly to the assessment findings.

Tips for Clinicians

- Use a standardized template to maintain consistency across reports.
- Incorporate visual aids such as charts or diagrams for complex data.
- Schedule a brief debrief with the family to explain the report in lay terms.
- Keep the report concise—aim for 2–3 pages for the main content.
- Leverage electronic health record (EHR) systems to auto populate demographic fields.

Frequently Asked Questions

No, the PDMS is specifically designed for children up to 5½ years old. For older children, other assessment tools such as the Bruininks–Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency are appropriate.

Re assessment is recommended every 6–12 months, or sooner if there is a significant change in the child’s health or development.

Yes, clinicians should be trained in PDMS administration and interpretation to ensure accurate scoring and meaningful recommendations.

Conclusion

A well crafted **peabody developmental motor scales written report example** is more than a formality; it is a vital tool that translates quantitative data into qualitative insight. By following a structured approach—collecting accurate data, presenting it clearly, interpreting it thoughtfully, and offering precise recommendations—clinicians can empower families and educators to support a child's motor development effectively. Remember, the goal of the report is not only to document deficits but to illuminate pathways for growth and improvement.

Baca Juga (Artikel Terkait)

- **ben and curtis carson**
  URL: http://dexterspetfriends.com/ben-and-curtis-carson.pdf
- **directv guide online**
  URL: http://dexterspetfriends.com/directv-guide-online.pdf
- **the daily bible in chronological order niv f lagard smith**
  URL: http://dexterspetfriends.com/the-daily-bible-in-chronological-order-niv-f-lagard-smith.pdf
- **ohio notary exam study guide**
  URL: http://dexterspetfriends.com/ohio-notary-exam-study-guide.pdf

Tags: #peabody #developmental #motor #scales #written #report #example